Reply to Office Action mailed August 13, 2009

## REMARKS

## A. BACKGROUND

The present Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed August 13, 2009. Claims 1-23 were pending and rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112. Claims 4, 7, 9, and 18-23 are amended. Claims 1-23 remain pending in view of the above amendments.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments to the claims and the following remarks. For the Examiner's convenience and reference, Applicant's remarks are presented in the order in which the corresponding issues were raised in the Office Action.

Please note that the following remarks are not intended to be an exhaustive enumeration of the distinctions between any cited references and the claimed invention. Rather, the distinctions identified and discussed below are presented solely by way of example to illustrate some of the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references. In addition, Applicant requests that the Examiner carefully review any references discussed below to ensure that Applicant's understanding and discussion of the references, if any, are consistent with the Examiner's understanding.

## B. REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

The Office Action rejected claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In response, Applicant has amended claims 4, 7, 9, and 18-23 to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

In view of the above, and based on the indication in the Office Action that claims 1-23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112. second paragraph, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph and allowance of the application.

Application No. 10/787,073

Amendment "D" dated January 13, 2010

Reply to Office Action mailed August 13, 2009

## C. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the other rejections to the claims are now moot and do not, therefore, need to be addressed individually at this time. It will be appreciated, however, that this should not be construed as Applicant acquiescing to any of the purported teachings or assertions made in the last action regarding the cited art or the pending application, including any official notice. Instead, Applicant reserves the right to challenge any of the purported teachings or assertions made in the last action at any appropriate time in the future, should the need arise. Furthermore, to the extent that the Examiner has relied on any Official Notice, explicitly or implicitly, Applicant specifically requests that the Examiner provide references supporting the teachings officially noticed, as well as provide the required

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are neither anticipated by nor made obvious by the art of record. In the event that the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

motivation or suggestion to combine references with the other art of record.

Dated this 13th day of January, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul N. Taylor, Reg.# 57271/ PAUL N. TAYLOR Registration No. 57,271 Attorney for Applicant Customer No. 57360 Telephone No. 801.533,9800

FDR: kdj 2615376 1.DOC